DOCKET NUMBER 96

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

L. Scott Keehn (SBN 61691) KEEHN & ASSOCIATES, APC 402 W. Broadway, Suite 1210 San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: (619) 400-2200 Facsimile: (619) 400-2201 Attorneys for **Petitioning Creditors**

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

In Re: Bankruptcy Case No. 05-05926-PB7 FRANCIS J. LOPEZ. **DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF** ENTRY OF ORDER AND Debtor. CONTINUED HEARING DATE Date: March 12, 2007 Time: 10:30 a.m. Dept: 4 Judge: Hon. Peter W. Bowie

I. L. SCOTT KEEHN, declare as follows:

- I am an attorney duly admitted to practice before the Courts of this State, and before the United States District Court for the Southern District of California. I am a shareholder of the firm Keehn & Associates, APC, counsel of record for the Petitioning Creditors in the above entitled case. I am the shareholder in charge of the engagement of the firm on behalf of the Petitioning Creditors, and the attorney within the firm who is most knowledgeable with respect to all aspects of this matter.
- 2. On March 19, 2007, a Notice of Lodgment of Order (1) Granting Petitioning Creditors' Motion to Compel Supplemental Responses to Written Discovery; (2) Deferring Ruling on Sanctions Against Alleged Debtor Francis J. Lopez; And, (3) Continuing Hearing Date, identified as Docket Item 95, was filed with the Court. The Order itself was separately uploaded that same date (See the Declaration of Mark Laemmle filed concurrently therewith at ¶ 2). No

objection to the Proposed Order was made (see Case Docket).

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

3.	Today our office learned that, due to a ".pdf error" with the Proposed Lodge
Order, the C	urt was not able to process it in the ordinary course (see Laemmle Decl. at ¶ 3

- 4. Our office has created a new .pdf of the Proposed Order and it will be uploaded concurrently herewith (see Laemmle Decl. at ¶ 4). Attached as Exhibit 1 is the Proposed Order.
- 5. The Proposed Order, being eligible for entry by reason of Petitioning Creditor's prior compliance with LBR 7054-3(b) [Lodgment], is now in a posture to be entered.
 - 6. The purpose of this declaration is to request the immediate entry of the Order.
- 7. I have first-hand knowledge of all of the foregoing, and if called as a witness would testify in the manner hereabove set forth.
- I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this Declaration was executed on April 13, 2007, at San Diego, California.

Dated: <u>April 13, 2007</u>

KEEHN & ASSOCIATES, A Professional Corporation

By: L. Scott Keehn

EXHIBIT 1

CSD 1001CCase 3:08-cv-00713-JAH-BLM Docume Name, Address, Telephone No. & I.D. No. L. Scott Keehn, SBN 61691 KEEHN & ASSOCIATES, APC 402 W. Broadway, Suite 1210 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 400-2200 Attorneys for Petitioning Creditors	nt 5-6	Filed 04/28/2008	Page 5 of 6		
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 325 West "F" Street, San Diego, California 92101-6991					
In Re FRANCIS J. LOPEZ,		BANKRUPTCY NO. 05-0	LODGED 5926-PBINV		
	Debtor.	Date of Hearing: Ma Time of Hearing: 10: Name of Judge: Hon.	30 a.m.		
ORDER	ON				
(1) GRANTING PETITIONING CREDITORS' MOTION TO COMPEL SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO WRITTEN DISCOVERY; (2) DEFERRING RULING ON SANCTIONS AGAINST ALLEGED DEBTOR FRANCIS J. LOPEZ; AND (3) CONTINUING HEARING DATE					
IT IS ORDERED THAT the relief sought as set forth or	n the conti	nuation pages attached	and numbered two (2)		
through 2 with exhibits, if any, for a total of 2 pages, is granted. Notice of Lodgment Docket Entry No					
<i>II</i>					
<i>II</i>					
<i>II</i>					
II					
II					
<i>II</i>					
DATED:	Judge, l	Jnited States Bankrupto	y Court		
Signature by the attorney constitutes a certification under Fed. R. of Bankr. P. 9011 that the relief in the order is the relief granted by the court.					
Submitted by:					
KEEHN & ASSOCIATES, APC (Firm name) By: L. Scott Keehn Attorney for Movant Respondent					

CSD 1001CCase 3:08(200713-JAH-BLM Document 5-6 Filed 04/28/2008 Page 6 of 6 ORDER ON (1) GRANTING PETITIONING CREDITORS' MOTION TO COMPEL SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO WRITTEN DISCOVERY; (2) DEBTOR: FRANCIS J. LOPEZ, CASE NO: 05-05926-PBINV

Petitioning Creditors' Motion to Compel Supplemental Responses to Written Discovery in Phase II of this bifurcated proceeding (the "Motion") came on regularly for hearing on March 12, 2007, at 10:30 a.m. in department 4 of the above-entitled Court located at 325 West "F" Street, San Diego, California, the Honorable Peter W. Bowie presiding. Appearances were made by the firm of Keehn & Associates, APC, by L. Scott Keehn, on behalf of Petitioning Creditors; and the Law Office of M. Jonathan Hayes, by M. Jonathan Hayes on behalf of Alleged Debtor, Francis J. Lopez ("Lopez"), in opposition to the Motion.

The Court, having previously considered all of the pleadings, papers, requests and declarations submitted by the Petitioning Creditors in support of their positions, and, there being no opposition papers filed, further heard and considered the oral arguments, contentions, and request of the parties in open sessions.

The Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law were stated orally by the Court, and recorded in open session, following the close of argument, and are incorporated herein by this reference pursuant to Rules 7052 and 9014 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, and Rule 52(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Based upon the foregoing, and good cause therefore appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- (1) The Motion to compel further response to Petitioning Creditor's written discovery requests is granted in its entirety;
- (2) Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, it is further and specifically ordered that Lopez must provide Petitioning Creditors with all requested supplemental responses to written discovery on or before April 11, 2007;
- (3) The Court reserves jurisdiction for and defers its ruling on the imposition of sanctions against Alleged Debtor; and,
- (4) A further hearing on this matter will be held on May 11, 2007 at 9:30 a.m. in Department 4 of the above entitled court. The Court will renew its consideration of the Petitioning Creditor's request for the imposition of sanctions in the cost of \$4,242,00 at that time.